

Applicant: M. Kawaguchi
U.S.S.N.: 09/470,615
RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION
Page 2

REMARKS

Claims 1-12 are pending in the subject application. Claims 1-12 are subject to a restriction requirement and/ or an election of species requirement.

RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In the above referenced Office Action, the Examiner provided that the pending claims are directed to more than one patentably distinct invention. Specifically, the claims are directed to the following inventions: Group I, claims 1-8 drawn to an apparatus; and Group II, claims 9-12 drawn to a method.

Accordingly, Applicant was requested under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect the invention to which prosecution on the merits will be restricted. In this regard, Applicant elects, with traverse, Group I, which includes claims drawn to an apparatus, presently embodied in claims 1-8.

Applicant traverses the restriction requirement on the basis that, while the claims of Groups I and II are drawn to an apparatus and a method, a search for either invention would involve the same prior art (i.e., same classes/subclasses). It would appear to Applicants that a search concerning a mechanism for supporting a substrate to be coated by a film would necessarily involve considering references disclosing methods for supporting a substrate to be coated by a film. Conversely, it would appear that a search for such a method would necessarily involve considering references disclosing such a supporting mechanism [first grouping]. As such, Applicant respectfully submits that, since a comprehensive search would involve

Applicant: M. Kawaguchi
U.S.S.N.: 09/470,615
RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION
Page 3

consideration of the subject matter of both inventions, for efficiency the inventions could be prosecuted at the same time.

It is respectfully submitted that the subject application is in a condition for allowance. Early and favorable action is requested.

Applicant believes that additional fees are not required for consideration of the within Response. However, if for any reason a fee is required, a fee paid is inadequate or credit is owed for any excess fee paid, you are hereby authorized and requested to charge Deposit Account No. **04-1105**.

Respectfully submitted,
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP
DIKE, BRONSTEIN, ROBERTS & CUSHMAN
Intellectual Property Practice Group

Date: October 17, 2001

By:

William J. Daley
William J. Daley, Jr. (Reg. No. 35,487)
P.O. Box 9169
Boston, MA 02209
(617) 439- 4444

BOS2_180372.1